Notice of Intent: Proposed Site Preparation – Driveway Installation

Buffam Road Pelham, Massachusetts

MARCH 2021

PREPARED FOR Hank Brakeley

PREPARED BY

SWCA Environmental Consultants

NOTICE OF INTENT SINGLE FAMILY HOME SITE PREPARATION BUFFAM ROAD

Prepared for Hank Brakeley

SWCA Environmental Consultants

1900 West Park Drive, Suite 280 Westborough, MA 01581 508-233-8769 www.swca.com

SWCA Project No. 64471.00

March 2021

This page intentionally left blank.

CONTENTS

1	Intro	oduction1
2	Exist	ting Conditons1
3	Juris	sdictional Resources
	3.1	Bordering Vegetated Wetland
	3.2	Inland Bank
	3.3	100-Foot Buffer Zone
	3.4	Land Under Water
	3.5	200-foot Riverfront Area
4	Othe	er Environmentally Sensitive Resources
	4.1	WPA/Bylaw Resource Areas
	4.2	Vernal Pools
	4.3	Outstanding Resource Areas
5	Prop	osed Project
	5.1	Erosion and Sedimentation Control and Best Management Practices
	5.2	Construction Sequencing
6	Regu	llatory Review
	6.1	Massachusetts Wetland Protections Act
	6	5.1.1 General Provisions (310 CMR 10.03 and 310 CMR 10.53)
	6	5.1.2Bordering Vegetated Wetland (310 CMR 10.55(4))8
	6	5.1.3 200-foot Riverfront Area (310 CMR 10.58(4)) 9
7	Sum	mary
8	Refe	rences Cited/Literature Cited10

Appendices

Appendix A.	Forms	and	Checks
r ippondin r i.	I OIIIID	unu	Checks

Appendix A. Forms and Checks Appendix B. Figures Appendix C. Site Photographs Appendix D. Abutter Information

This page intentionally left blank.

1 INTRODUCTION

SWCA Environmental Consultants (SWCA) has prepared this Notice of Intent (NOI) application on behalf Hank Brakeley (the Applicant) for proposed site preparation of a driveway associated with a single-family home off Buffam Road (Map 8, Lot 29.A) in Pelham, Massachusetts (the Site). The owners of the parcel are Judith and Elizabeth Knowles. The parcel is a ±44-acre parcel that runs directly adjacent to Buffam Road. The proposed construction includes a new driveway for a single-family home (the Project). A completed "WPA Form 4" and copies of the checks are included in Appendix A. Figure 1 (see Appendix B) depicts the extent of the Project on an U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) topographic map.

This NOI permit application has been developed in accordance with the Massachusetts Wetland Protection Act (MGL c.131 §40) (WPA) and its implementing Regulations (310 CMR 10.00 *et seq.*) as well as the Town of Pelham Wetland Protection Bylaw (Ch. 119). The proposed work includes limited impacts to Bordering Vegetated Wetland (BVW) and 100-foot Buffer Zone to BVW and an unnamed intermittent stream. The Project is proposed as limited project under 310 CMR 10.53(3)(e) which allows the construction of a new driveway of minimum legal and practical width acceptable by the Planning Board, where reasonable alternative means of access from a public way to an upland area of the same owner is unavailable.

Three hard copies and an electronic copy of this NOI are being submitted to the Pelham Conservation Commission (Commission). An electronic copy of the application and supporting documents has been sent to the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection (MassDEP) Western Regional Office (WERO).

2 EXISTING CONDITONS

The Site consists of previously logged ±44-acre parcel located at along Buffam Road. Currently, the Site does not have an existing permanent suitable access road or driveway. On the east side of the parcel, an unnamed intermittent stream flows south, entering the Site in the northeast corner of the parcel and continuing south before existing the property to the southeast across Buffam Road. BVWs associated with the unnamed stream are located to the east and west of the stream. A majority of the Site consists of mid-successional mixed forest and scrub-shrub communities resultant from prior logging activities. The Site is situated at elevation 973 feet above sea level (MSL) at its northern limits and generally slopes south. Photograph of the Site are provided in Appendix C.

According to the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) Web Soil Survey (Natural Resources Conservation Service [NRCS] 2019), a majority of the Site is mapped as Ridgebury fine sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes, extremely stone and Gloucester gravelly fine sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes, very stony. Ridgebury fine sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes, extremely stony is listed as hydric while Gloucester gravelly find sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes, very stony is not: however, the Gloucester soil does include hydric inclusions of the Ridgebury soil (NRCS 2021).

Digital Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Flood Insurance Rate Mapping (FIRM) is not currently available for the Site. A review of the existing Q3 floodplain data indicates that Bordering Land Subject to Flooding (BLSF) (*i.e.*, 100-year floodplain) is mapped to the south; however, there is no floodplain mapped within the Site.

3 JURISDICTIONAL RESOURCES

SWCA reviewed multiple mapping resources available from the Massachusetts Geographic Information System (MassGIS) and others including, but not limited to, MassDEP wetlands and hydrologic connections, hydrography, aerial imagery, FEMA FIRMs, USGS topographic quadrangles, potential and certified vernal pools, NRCS soils, and Natural Heritage and Endangered Species Program (NHESP) Priority and Estimated Habitats for Rare Species, among others.

A qualified wetland scientist from SWCA visited the Site to determine the presence or absence of jurisdictional resource areas on December 10, 2020 and February 11, 2021.

The Environmental Resources Map in Appendix B depicts the locations of regulated resource areas within and in proximity to the site on an aerial photograph. Representative photographs are included in Appendix C. The following sections describe the on-site regulated resource areas.

3.1 Bordering Vegetated Wetland

The boundaries of the on-site wetlands were determined in accordance with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) Wetland Delineation (Environmental Laboratory 1987), the Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Northcentral and Northeast Region (Version 2.0) (USACE 2011), as well as in accordance with the WPA and 310 CMR 10.55(2)(c) and further described in MassDEP's Delineating Bordering Vegetated Wetlands Under the Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act (1995). The delineated wetlands are depicted on the Environmental Resources Map found in Appendix B.

As set forth in 310 CMR 10.55(2)(a-c) of the Regulations, a BVW is defined as "areas where the soils are saturated and/or inundated such that they support a predominance of wetland indicator plants" and the BVW boundary is determined as "the line within which 50% or more of the vegetational community consists of wetland indicator plants and saturated or inundated conditions exist." BVW has a 100-foot Buffer Zone under the WPA as jurisdictional area and is regulated as a resource area under the Bylaw (see Section 3.3 below).

BVW is connected to both the east and west of the unnamed stream. A majority of the wetland is located in the center and southeast portions of the Site. Due to the BVW extending from the western to the eastern side of the wetland or is located directly adjacent to Buffam Road, the proposed driveway will intersect with a narrow portion of BVW. The proposed driveway is anticipated to impact 585-square feet of BVW. This portion of proposed impacted BVW (W1) is dominated by wetland vegetation including grey birch (Betula populifolia), silky dogwood (*Cornus amomum*) and winterberry (*Ilex verticillata*).

Hydric soils were identified during the wetland delineation and are classified as depleted below dark surface. Hydrologic indicators found within the wetland area water marks, water-stained leaves, and presence of a depleted matrix. SWCA Wetland Scientists completed data plots near flag W1-213 to substantiate the delineation. Wetland data forms for this datapoint can be found within Appendix C.

3.2 Inland Bank

Inland Bank is the resource area which confines waterways and water bodies (i.e., streams, ponds, and lakes). For streams, it extends from Mean Annual Low Water (MALW) to Mean Annual High Water (MAHW). As set forth in 310 CMR 10.54(2)(a-c), Inland Bank is defined as "the portion of the land surface which normally abuts and confines a water body. It occurs between a water body and a bordering

vegetated wetland and adjacent floodplain, or, in the absence of these, it occurs between a water body and an upland." Banks in proximity to the Project area were flagged with blue polyvinyl flagging with sequentially numbered alphanumeric identifiers and were GPS-located (see the Environmental Resources Map).

Inland Bank associated with the unnamed intermittent stream (S2) was identified along the east side of the parcel and east of the proposed project. The unnamed stream flows north to south along the parcel. No impacts are anticipated to Inland Bank.

3.3 100-Foot Buffer Zone

The WPA includes a 100-ft Buffer Zone associated with Inland Bank and BVW. While the WPA does not regulate the 100-foot Buffer Zone a resource area, the Bylaw does include the 100-foot Buffer Zone as a regulated resource area. The 100-foot Buffer Zone within the Project area consists of logged forest land, shrubs, and roadways.

The project proposes permanent impacts to the 100-foot Buffer Zone within the Project area. Approximately 18,155-square feet of temporary impacts are proposed for the for construction of the driveway as well as $\pm 8,575$ -square feet of permanent impacts. All temporary impacts will be restored to pre-construction conditions following construction including restoring original grades, seeding with a native upland habitat seed mix, and mulching with straw.

3.4 Land Under Water

As defined in 310 CMR 10.56(2) "Land Under Water Bodies and Waterways (LUWW) is the land beneath any creek, river, stream, pond, or lake. Said land may be composed of organic muck or peat, fine sediments, rocks or bedrock." While no LUWW is located within the Project area, this resource area is in adjacent areas and associated with the unnamed intermittent stream. LUWW is not typically flagged in the field since it is fully contained within Bank or MAHW. LUWW does not occur within the Project area. There are no impacts proposed to LUWW as part of the Project.

3.5 200-foot Riverfront Area

Perennial rivers are afforded a 200-foot RFA regulated resource area under the WPA and Bylaw, respectively. Buffam Brook, located off-Site to the southeast of the Site, is perennial stream according to 310 CMR 10.58(2)(a). The Brook's 200-foot RFA extends onto the Site in the southeast corner and consists of undeveloped mid-successional forest.

The Project proposes both temporary and permanent impacts to the 200-foot RFA. A total of $\pm 4,655$ -square feet of impacts are proposed for construction of the driveway. All temporary impacts will be restored to pre-construction conditions following construction including restoring original grades, seeding with a native upland habitat seed mix, and mulching with straw.

4 OTHER ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE RESOURCES

SWCA reviewed MassGIS to determine if the proposed work areas are within or near other sensitive environmental areas. These areas included bordering vegetated wetland, protected rare species, important watersheds, and other special environmental characteristics.

4.1 WPA/Bylaw Resource Areas

The only regulated resource areas occur within the Project area include BVW, 100-ft Buffer Zone, and 200-foot RFA. The Project area does not contain any other resource areas regulated under the WPA or Bylaw including LUWW, Inland Banks, or BLSF. Appendix B includes the FEMA Flood Hazard boundary Map for the area (Map H-01-11, Community No. 250168A, effective December 11, 1976).

4.2 Vernal Pools

SWCA biologists reviewed available MassGIS datasets to determine if the Project is located within or near mapped Certified Vernal Pools (MassGIS 2021) or Potential Vernal Pools (MassGIS 2000). There are no certified or potential vernal pools mapped within or near the Project according to available MassGIS data

Priority and Estimated Habitats of Rare Species SWCA biologists reviewed available MassGIS NHESP datasets to determine if the Project is located within or near areas designated as Priority Habitats of Rare Species (MassGIS 2017a) or Estimated Habitats of Rare Wildlife (MassGIS 2017b). NHESP mapping indicates that there are no habitats of rare species mapped within or in proximity to the site.

Areas of Critical Environmental Concern SWCA reviewed MassGIS data layers to determine if the Project is located within any Areas of Critical Environmental Concern (ACEC). An ACEC is a designated area in Massachusetts that receives special recognition because of the quality, uniqueness, and significance of its natural and/or and cultural resources. ACECs are identified so that they may be protected and maintained. SWCA determined that there are no ACECs within or near the site (MassGIS 2009).

4.3 Outstanding Resource Areas

SWCA reviewed MassGIS data layers to determine if the Project is located within any Outstanding Resource Waters (ORW) (MassGIS 2010). ORWs are watershed areas that have been classified as an outstanding resource under the Massachusetts Surface Water Quality Standards as determined by their important socioeconomic, recreational, ecological, and/or aesthetic values. ORWs are identified so that they may be protected and maintained. SWCA determined that there are no ORWs within or near the site (MassGIS 2010).

5 PROPOSED PROJECT

The proposed project will construct a new ± 12 -foot-wide driveway beginning along the southeast side of the parcel leading from Buffam Road. From there, the driveway will continue northwest to cross the narrowest portion of BVW and continue north up to where the single-family home is constructed outside of jurisdictional resource areas. Work will include the site preparations for and construction of the proposed driveway. Impacts to BVW are necessary in order to access developable, upland areas of the

Site. While not proposed as part of this NOI, the single-family house and appurtenances are proposed outside of all jurisdictional areas. However, should engineering limitations (*e.g.*, bedrock, slope, etc.) require that any portion of the house construction be located within Buffer Zone or other jurisdictional area, that construction will be brought before the Commission under a separate filing.

The proposed driveway will be constructed within the existing mid-successional forest habitat on the Site. A minimal portion of the driveway will occur within RFA associated with Buffam Brook where the driveway intersects Buffam Road. Additionally, the driveway will cross both BVW and 100-foot Buffer Zone. The driveway has been designed to cross the BVW at its narrowest location to minimize impacts as much as practicable. Erosion and sediment will be staked along the perimeter of the limit of work (LOW). Once construction has been completed, temporarily disturbed soils will be revegetated with a native soil seed mix and mulched with straw.

As a single-family home, the Project is not subject to the Massachusetts Stormwater Standards.

5.1 Erosion and Sedimentation Control and Best Management Practices

Sedimentation barriers are proposed along the LOW for the driveway. The Site Plans in Appendix B depict the locations of the sediment controls within the Project area. The controls will be placed at the LOW to limit disturbance and prevent sediment from entering the resource areas during construction. All sedimentation control barriers will be installed prior to any site work and shall remain in place until all surfaces are stable and any disturbed soils are sufficiently vegetated. Construction debris and sediment shall be kept on-site and shall not be permitted to migrate beyond the Project boundaries. Stormwater inlet protections (*e.g.*, filter socks) will be installed within each stormwater inlet in proximity to the Project area. On-site controls will be inspected daily, and any necessary maintenance and/or repairs will be promptly completed.

In addition to erosion and sedimentation controls, other best management practices (BMPs) such as stoned construction entrances and other similar practices routinely employed will be utilized. These BMPs will minimize potential adverse environmental impacts and include various mitigative measures that can be implemented on as as-needed basis according to various site conditions and construction methods.

5.2 Construction Sequencing

Construction is expected to commence in the summer of 2021 and continue through fall 2021. Prior to mobilization, sedimentation controls will be installed around the LOW between the work area and adjacent, undisturbed habitats. Once controls are in place, other BMPs such as stone construction entrances, spoils stockpile areas, etc. will be established as needed. Weekly environmental inspections will occur during construction. After construction has been completed, all temporarily disturbed areas will be restored to their pre-construction condition including the restoration of pre-existing topographic contours, seeding exposed soils with a native seed mix, and mulching with straw.

Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation

Impacts to regulated resource areas have been avoided and minimized as much as practicable. The proposed access off Buffam Road in the southeast of the parcel presents the least environmentally impactful option to access buildable, upland areas for the single-family home. Access from this proposed location avoids the need to cross the on-Site intermittent stream, greatly reduces adverse impacts to BVW

by reducing the need to cross a large section of wetland, and further reduces impacts to the 100-foot Buffer Zone. The proposed driveway location crosses the BVW at its narrowest location and has been situated to avoid impacts to 100-foot Buffer Zone as much as practicable. Additionally, the hydrologic connectivity of the BVW to be crossed has been maintained by proposing twin 8-inch culverts at the crossing.

Where impacts to BVW, 100-foot Buffer Zone, or RFA could not be avoided, the applicant will protect these areas and the downgradient wetlands and surface waters through the implementation of BMPs. The following measures will be implemented to protect and minimize potential adverse impacts to downgradient wetlands and surface waters:

- Expediting construction and avoiding unnecessary activities in wetlands and surface waters;
- Installing erosion and sedimentation controls to prevent sediment and siltation from entering downgradient wetlands and surface waters;
- Removing construction materials following construction, including erosion and sedimentation control barriers when area has been fully stabilized;
- Restoring all areas temporarily disturbed by construction to their original grades and surface conditions;
- Permanently stabilizing the work area after construction, by either repaying or reseeding, depending on pre-existing conditions;
- Repairing and maintaining any BMPs in a timely manner;
- Inspecting the work area following construction to ensure restoration is conducted in a timely manner;
- Prohibiting long-term parking and refueling of equipment within at least 100 feet of a wetland or stream; and
- Prohibiting the storage of hazardous materials, including chemicals, fuels, and lubricating oils within 100 feet of a wetland or stream.

6 REGULATORY REVIEW

Proposed projects that are subject to the WPA and its implementing regulations as well as the Bylaw and its implementing regulations must demonstrate how they comply with the applicable performance standards. Since the only regulated resource areas present within the Project area are BVW, 100-foot Buffer Zone, and the 200-foot RFA, the following table provides a detailed overview of the performance standards under 310 CMR 10.55(4) and 310 CMR 58(4) as well as the general provisions of the WPA and inland resource areas.

6.1 Massachusetts Wetland Protections Act

6.1.1 General Provisions (310 CMR 10.03 and 310 CMR 10.53)

Table 1. General Performance Standards for Riverfront Area (310 CMR 10.58(4))

Citation	Regulation	Compliance
310 CMR 10.58(4)(a)	Protection of other resource areas.	Work within resource areas has been avoided and minimized as much as practicable. BMPs are proposed to protect the adjacent resource areas during construction from adverse impacts. Additionally, the hydrologic connection of the BVW will be maintained via twin culverts under the BVW crossing. The Project will not adversely impact the ability of the resource areas to protect the interests of the WPA.
310 CMR 10.58(4)(b)	Protection of rare species.	Not applicable. The there is no Priority or Estimated Habitats of Rare Species mapped within the Project area.
310 CMR 10.58(4)(c)	Practicable and substantially equivalent economic alternatives.	Refer to the Alternatives Analysis below in Section 6.1.3.1. There are no practicable and substantially equivalent economic alternatives with less adverse effects on the interests of the WPA.
310 CMR 10.58(4)(d)	No Significant Adverse Impact	The Project proposes work within BVW and RFA. Impacts have been avoided and minimized to the maximum extent practicable. The work does not impair the capacity of RFA to provide important wildlife habitat function and the proposed work will not impair groundwater or surface water quality by incorporating erosion and sedimentation controls as well as construction BMPs.

Table 2. General Provisions of Inland Resource Areas (310 CMR 10.53)

Citation	Regulation	Compliance
310 CMR 10.53(1)	Significance of resource areas with no presumption to the protection of the interests of the WPA and work within the buffer zone reviewable under the Regulations.	Not applicable. BVW and RFA, the two resource areas proposed to be impacted by the project, include presumptions in the Regulations at 310 CMR 10.55(3) and 310 CMR 10.58(3), respectively.
310 CMR 10.53(2)	Proposed projects subject to a Restriction Order	Not applicable.
310 CMR 10.53(3)	Projects that may be permitted as a limited project	The Project is proposed as limited project under 310 CMR 10.53(3)(e) which allows the construction of a new driveway of minimum legal and practical width acceptable by the Planning Board, where reasonable alternative means of access from a public way to an upland area of the same owner is unavailable.
310 CMR 10.53(4)	Ecological restoration limited projects	Not applicable.
310 CMR 10.53(5)	Agricultural limited projects	Not applicable.
310 CMR 10.53(6)	Limited projects related to footpaths, bikepaths, and other pedestrian or nonmotorized vehicle access within RFA but outside of outside of other resource areas	Not applicable.

Citation	Regulation	Compliance
310 CMR 10.53(7)	Operation and maintenance plans for public or private infrastructure	Not applicable.
310 CMR 10.53(8)	Stream crossings	Not applicable.

6.1.2 Bordering Vegetated Wetland (310 CMR 10.55(4))

|--|

Citation	Regulation	Compliance
310 CMR 10.55(4)(a)	Work shall not destroy or otherwise impair any portion of said area	The proposed project will not impair the BVW. The proposed driveway will cross the BVW at its narrowest location with the minimum width of driveway allowed by the Town. Additionally, hydrologic connectivity within the BVW will be maintained with twin culverts under the driveway crossing.
310 CMR 10.55(b)1.	Surface are of the replacement area to be created shall be equal to that of the area that will be lost	The area to be lost is ± 585 sf. A detailed mitigation plan will be submitted for approval to the Commission prior to construction.
310 CMR 10.55(b)2.	Ground water and surface elevation of the replacement	The proposed replication areas will be located adjacent to the existing BVW at similar elevations. Restoration is to be conducted under the guidance of a Professional Wetland Scientist.
310 CMR 10.55(b)3.	Overall horizontal configuration and location of the replacement area	The proposed replication areas will be adjacent to the impacted wetlands.
310 CMR 10.55(b)4.	Unrestricted hydraulic connection to the same water body or waterway as the lost area.	The replacement area and the impact area are both hydrologically connected to Williams Brook on the intermittent unnamed stream. The replication areas will be connected to the exiting BVW and will have unrestricted hydrologic connectivity to the stream.
310 CMR 10.55(b)5.	Replacement area shall be located within the same general area of the water body or reach of the waterway as the lost area.	The replacement area will be in the same general area as the lost area, ideally located within 50 feet of the impact area hydrologically connected to the existing onsite stream.
310 CMR 10.55(b)6.	Reestablishment of native vegetation and vegetative stabilization of replacement area.	A minimum of 75% cover with native species will be achieved within two years. Sedimentation controls will be established around the replacement area.
310 CMR 10.55(b)7.	Replacement area shall be provided consistent other General Performance Standards for each resource area	This standard for each resource area will be provided in a manner consistent with the applicable resource standards.
310 CMR 10.55(c)	Loss of BVW of less than 500-square feet, is in a distinct linear configuration, and it is not reasonable to further avoid or minimize impacts.	Not applicable.
310 CMR 10.55(d)	Rare species	Not applicable. The Project is not located within any mapped rare species habitat.
310 CMR 10.55(e)	ACECs	Not applicable. The Project is not located within an ACEC.

6.1.3 200-foot Riverfront Area (310 CMR 10.58(4))

Citation	Regulation	Compliance
310 CMR 10.58(4)(a)	Work shall meet the performances standards for all other resource areas	The Project meets the performance standards for all other resource areas proposed to be impacted (<i>i.e.</i> , BVW).
310 CMR 10.58(4)(b)	Protection of rare species	Not applicable. The Project is not located within any mapped rare species habitat by NHESP.
310 CMR 10.58(4)(c)	Alternatives	Three alternatives were evaluated (see below).
310 CMR 10.58(4)(d)	No significant adverse effect.	The project will not result in a significant adverse effect on the RFA to protect the interests of the WPA. The project is not subject to the Massachusetts Stormwater Standards, does not impact important wildlife habitat features or functions, and will not impair groundwater or surface water.

Table 6. 200-foot Riverfront Area (310 CMR 10.54(4))

6.1.3.1 ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS

As part of the alternatives analysis, three alternatives were evaluated. The sections below describe each alternative, their environmental impacts, and why the alternative was selected or rejected. As a proposed lot for a single-family house, practicable alternatives are limited to the lot, subdivided lot, or any adjacent lots formerly or presently owned by the same owner. There are four lots that border the Site. Two lots to the south and southwest have single-family homes already constructed are not available for sale. A large, undeveloped lot to the northwest; however, this parcel of land over 603-acresa and is not available for sale. There is a fourth parcel to the north of the Site; however, this parcel is also not for sale and contains an extensive mosaic of wetlands that would likely prohibit the development of a single-family home.

No Action Alternative – Under this alternative, the proposed driveway would not be constructed. The No Action Alternative would result in zero impacts to BVW, 100-foot Buffer Zone, and RFA. However, this alternative would not accomplish the Applicant's goal of developing a single-family house on this lot. Therefore, this alternative was no selected as the Preferred Alternative.

Alternative 2: Access Further North on Buffam Road – Alternative 2 would access the site off Buffam Road further north from the proposed access in the southeast corner of the property via a relic logging access location. This alternative would require a large wetland complex between the intermittent unnamed stream and Buffam Road as well as a second BVW crossing, require a permanent stream crossing of the intermittent stream, and result in greater impacts to 100-ft Buffer Zone. Due to the increased environmental impacts, this alternative was not selected as the Preferred Alternative.

Alternative 3 (Preferred Alternative): Access from the Southeast Corner – This alternative proposes access off Buffam Road in the southeast corner of the parcel. Alternative 3 will result in minimal impacts to BVW, 100-foot Buffer Zone, and BVW; however, those impacts are less ecologically impactful that the proposed impacts resultant under Alternative 2. Alternative 3 greatly reduces impacts to BVW and the 100-foot Buffer Zone and eliminates the need for a stream crossing. While this alternative nearly doubles the required length of the driveway, it results in substantially less environmental impact to regulated resource areas. Therefore, this alternative was selected as the Preferred Alternative.

7 SUMMARY

On behalf of the Applicant, Hank Brakeley, the proposed work proposed in this NOI includes site preparation and construction of a new driveway for a single-family house as a limited project under 310 CMR 10.53(3)(e). The proposed driveway will minimally impact BVW, 100-foot Buffer Zone, and RFA. However, the Project has been designed to avoid and minimize impacts as much as practicable. Additionally, a mitigation plan will be submitted to offset impacts to BVW and BMPs will be employed during construction.

SWCA respectfully request the Commission issue an Order of Conditions for the proposed work under jurisdiction of the WPA and Bylaw.

8 REFERENCES CITED/LITERATURE CITED

Environmental Laboratory. 1987. Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual. Technical Report Y-87-1, U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, MS.

Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection (MassDEP). 1995. Delineating Bordering Vegetated Wetlands Under the Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act, A Handbook. March 1995.

Massachusetts Georgraphic Information System (MassGIS). 2021. NHESP Certified Vernal Pools. Available at <u>https://docs.digital.mass.gov/dataset/massgis-data-nhesp-certified-vernal-pools</u>. Accessed March 5, 2021.

MassGIS. 2017a. NHESP Estimated Habitats of Rare Wildlife. Available at <u>https://docs.digital.mass.gov/dataset/massgis-data-nhesp-estimated-habitats-rare-wildlife</u>. Accessed March 5, 2021.

MassGIS. 2017b. NHESP Priority Habitats of Rare Species. Available at <u>https://docs.digital.mass.gov/dataset/massgis-data-nhesp-priority-habitats-rare-species</u>. Accessed March 5, 2021.

MassGIS. 2010. Outstanding Resource Waters. Available at <u>https://docs.digital.mass.gov/dataset/massgis-data-outstanding-resource-waters</u>. Accessed March 5, 2021.

MassGIS. 2009. Areas of Critical Environmental Concern. Available at <u>https://docs.digital.mass.gov/dataset/massgis-data-areas-critical-environmental-concern</u>. Accessed March 5, 2021.

MassGIS. 2000. NHESP Potential Vernal Pools. Available at <u>https://docs.digital.mass.gov/dataset/massgis-data-nhesp-potential-vernal-pools</u>. Accessed March 5, 2021.

Natural Resources Conservation Services (NRCS). 2019. Web Soil Survey. Available online at https://websoilsurvey.sc.egov.usda.gov/App/HomePage.htm. Accessed March 5, 2021.

NRCS. 2021. State Soil Data Access (SDA) Hydric Soil Ratings by Map Unit. Available at <u>https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/nrcseprd1389479.html#reportref</u>. Accessed March 5, 2021.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. 2011. Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Northcentral and Northeast Region (Version 2.0), ed. J. S. Wakeley, R. W. Lichvar, C. V. Noble, and J. F. Berkowitz. ERDC/EL TR-12. Vicksburg, MS: U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center.

Lichvar, C. V. Noble, and J. F. Berkowitz. ERDC/EL TR-12. Vicksburg, MS: U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center.

APPENDIX A

Forms and Checks



Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands

A. General Information

WPA Form 3 – Notice of Intent Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40

Provided by MassDEP:

MassDEP File Number

Document Transaction Number Pelham City/Town



Buffam Road		Pelham	01002
a. Street Address		b. City/Town	c. Zip Code
المغنية معمالم	e e itu de i	42.391213	-72.450729
Latitude and Lo	ngitude:	d. Latitude	e. Longitude
8		29.A	
f. Assessors Map/P	lat Number	g. Parcel /Lot Number	
Applicant:			
Hank		Brakeley	
a. First Name		b. Last Name	
c. Organization			
d. Street Address			
e. City/Town		f. State	g. Zip Code
781-234-4086		hhbrakeley@gmail.co	m
h. Phone Number	i. Fax Number	j. Email Address	
Elizabeth and J a. First Name	udith	b. Last Name	
c. Organization			
J			
51 Seashell Lar	ne		
51 Seashell Lar d. Street Address	ne		
51 Seashell Lar d. Street Address East Falmouth	ne	MA	02536
51 Seashell Lar d. Street Address East Falmouth e. City/Town	ne	f. State	02536 g. Zip Code
51 Seashell Lar d. Street Address East Falmouth e. City/Town h. Phone Number	i. Fax Number	MA f. State j. Email address	02536 g. Zip Code
51 Seashell Lar d. Street Address East Falmouth e. City/Town h. Phone Number Representative	i. Fax Number	MA f. State j. Email address	02536 g. Zip Code
51 Seashell Lar d. Street Address East Falmouth e. City/Town h. Phone Number Representative Valerie	i. Fax Number (if any):		02536 g. Zip Code
51 Seashell Lar d. Street Address East Falmouth e. City/Town h. Phone Number Representative Valerie a. First Name	i. Fax Number (if any):		02536 g. Zip Code
51 Seashell Lar d. Street Address East Falmouth e. City/Town h. Phone Number Representative Valerie a. First Name c. Company	i. Fax Number (if any):		02536 g. Zip Code
51 Seashell Lar d. Street Address East Falmouth e. City/Town h. Phone Number Representative Valerie a. First Name c. Company 15 Research Dr	i. Fax Number (if any):	MA f. State j. Email address 	02536 g. Zip Code
51 Seashell Lar d. Street Address East Falmouth e. City/Town h. Phone Number Representative Valerie a. First Name c. Company 15 Research Di d. Street Address	i. Fax Number (if any):		<u>02536</u> g. Zip Code
51 Seashell Lar d. Street Address East Falmouth e. City/Town h. Phone Number Representative Valerie a. First Name c. Company 15 Research Dr d. Street Address Amherst	i. Fax Number (if any):	MA f. State j. Email address Miller b. Last Name MA	<u>02536</u> g. Zip Code
51 Seashell Lar d. Street Address East Falmouth e. City/Town h. Phone Number Representative Valerie a. First Name c. Company 15 Research Dr d. Street Address Amherst e. City/Town	i. Fax Number (if any):	MA f. State j. Email address Miller b. Last Name MA f. State	<u>02536</u> g. Zip Code <u>01002</u> g. Zip Code
51 Seashell Lar d. Street Address East Falmouth e. City/Town h. Phone Number Representative Valerie a. First Name c. Company 15 Research Dr d. Street Address Amherst e. City/Town 413-256-0202	i. Fax Number (if any):	MA f. State j. Email address Miller b. Last Name MA f. State vmiller@swca.com	<u>02536</u> g. Zip Code <u>01002</u> g. Zip Code

4

Page 2 of 9

Provided by MassDEP:

MassDEP File Number

Document Transaction Number Pelham City/Town

Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands

WPA Form 3 – Notice of Intent

Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40

A. General Information (continued)

6. General Project Description:

SWCA Environmental Consultants (SWCA) has prepared this Notice of Intent on behald of the applicant, Hank Brakeley, for the site preparatoin for a driveway assocated with a single family house at on parcel Map 8, Lot 29.A along Buffam Road in Pelham, Massachusetts.

7a. Project Type Checklist: (Limited Project Types see Section A. 7b.)

1.	Single Family Home	2. Residential Subdivision
3.	Commercial/Industrial	4. Dock/Pier
5.	Utilities	6. 🗌 Coastal engineering Structure
7.	Agriculture (e.g., cranberries, forestry)	8. Transportation

- 9. 🗌 Other
- 7b. Is any portion of the proposed activity eligible to be treated as a limited project (including Ecological Restoration Limited Project) subject to 310 CMR 10.24 (coastal) or 310 CMR 10.53 (inland)?

 1. Yes
 No
 If yes, describe which limited project applies to this project. (See 310 CMR 10.24 and 10.53 for a complete list and description of limited project types)

 310 CMR 10.53(3)(e) - "The construction and maintenace of a new...driveway of minimum legal and practical width...where reasonable alternative access...to an upland area...is unavailable."

If the proposed activity is eligible to be treated as an Ecological Restoration Limited Project (310 CMR10.24(8), 310 CMR 10.53(4)), complete and attach Appendix A: Ecological Restoration Limited Project Checklist and Signed Certification.

8. Property recorded at the Registry of Deeds for:

Hampshire	
a. County	b. Certificate # (if registered land)
10172	130
c. Book	d. Page Number

B. Buffer Zone & Resource Area Impacts (temporary & permanent)

- 1. Buffer Zone Only Check if the project is located only in the Buffer Zone of a Bordering Vegetated Wetland, Inland Bank, or Coastal Resource Area.
- 2. Inland Resource Areas (see 310 CMR 10.54-10.58; if not applicable, go to Section B.3, Coastal Resource Areas).

Check all that apply below. Attach narrative and any supporting documentation describing how the project will meet all performance standards for each of the resource areas altered, including standards requiring consideration of alternative project design or location.

Δ





Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands Provided by MassDEP:

WPA Form 3 – Notice of Intent

Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40

MassDEP File Number

Document Transaction Number Pelham City/Town

B. Buffer Zone & Resource Area Impacts (temporary & permanent) (cont'd)

	<u>Resou</u>	rce Area	Size of Proposed Alteration	Proposed	d Replacement (if any)	
For all projects affecting other Resource Areas,	a. 🗌 b. 🔀	Bank Bordering Vegetated Wetland	1. linear feet 585 1. square feet	2. linear fe 585 2. square	pet feet	
please attach a narrative explaining how the resource area was	c. 🗌	Land Under Waterbodies and Waterways	 square feet cubic yards dredged 	2. square	feet	
delineated.	<u>Resour</u>	ce Area	Size of Proposed Alteration	Proposed	d Replacement (if any)	
	d. 🗌	Bordering Land Subject to Flooding	1. square feet	2. square	feet	
	e. 🗌	Isolated Land	3. cubic feet of flood storage lost	4. cubic fe	et replaced	
		Subject to Flooding	2. cubic feet of flood storage lost	3. cubic fe	et replaced	
	f. 🛛	Riverfront Area	1. Name of Waterway (if available) - specify coastal or inland			
	2.	Width of Riverfront Area	a (check one):			
		 25 ft Designated I 100 ft New agricu 	Densely Developed Areas only			
		\sim 200 ft All other pr				
	3.	Total area of Riverfront A	rea on the site of the proposed pro	ject:	116,370 square feet	
	4.	Proposed alteration of the	Riverfront Area:			
	4,0	655	594	4,061	() , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	a. 1 5.	total square feet Has an alternatives analys Was the lot where the act	b. square reet within 100 ft. sis been done and is it attached to	c. square fee this NOI? ugust 1, 1996	$\square Yes \square No$	
:	3. 🗌 Co	astal Resource Areas: (Se	ee 310 CMR 10.25-10.35)			
	Note:	for coastal riverfront areas	s, please complete Section B.2.f.	above.		



Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands

WPA Form 3 – Notice of Intent Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40 MassDEP File Number

Document Transaction Number Pelham City/Town

B. Buffer Zone & Resource Area Impacts (temporary & permanent) (cont'd)

Check all that apply below. Attach narrative and supporting documentation describing how the project will meet all performance standards for each of the resource areas altered, including standards requiring consideration of alternative project design or location.

Online Users: Include your		Resource Area		Size of Proposed Alteration	Proposed Replacement (if any)
transaction number		a. 🗌	Designated Port Areas	Indicate size under Land Under	er the Ocean, below
(provided on your receipt page) with all		b. 🗌	Land Under the Ocean	1. square feet	
information you				2. cubic yards dredged	
Department.		c. 🗌	Barrier Beach	Indicate size under Coastal Bea	aches and/or Coastal Dunes below
		d. 🗌	Coastal Beaches	1. square feet	2. cubic yards beach nourishment
		e. 🗌	Coastal Dunes	1. square feet	2. cubic yards dune nourishment
				Size of Proposed Alteration	Proposed Replacement (if any)
		f. 🗌	Coastal Banks	1. linear feet	
		g. 🗌	Rocky Intertidal Shores	1. square feet	
		h. 🗌	Salt Marshes	1. square feet	2. sq ft restoration, rehab., creation
		i. 🗌	Land Under Salt Ponds	1. square feet	
				2. cubic yards dredged	
		j. 🗌	Land Containing Shellfish	1. square feet	
		k. 🗌	Fish Runs	Indicate size under Coastal Bar Ocean, and/or inland Land Und above	nks, inland Bank, Land Under the er Waterbodies and Waterways,
		_		1. cubic yards dredged	
		I. 🛄	Land Subject to Coastal Storm Flowage	1. square feet	
	4.	Re If the p square amoun	storation/Enhancement roject is for the purpose of r footage that has been ente t here.	restoring or enhancing a wetland red in Section B.2.b or B.3.h abo	resource area in addition to the ove, please enter the additional
		a. square	e feet of BVW	b. square feet of	Salt Marsh
	5.	🗌 Pro	oject Involves Stream Cross	ings	
		a. numbe	er of new stream crossings	b. number of rep	acement stream crossings



Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands

WPA Form 3 – Notice of Intent

Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40

MassDEP File Number

Document Transaction Number Pelham City/Town

C. Other Applicable Standards and Requirements

This is a proposal for an Ecological Restoration Limited Project. Skip Section C and complete Appendix A: Ecological Restoration Limited Project Checklists – Required Actions (310 CMR 10.11).

Streamlined Massachusetts Endangered Species Act/Wetlands Protection Act Review

 Is any portion of the proposed project located in Estimated Habitat of Rare Wildlife as indicated on the most recent Estimated Habitat Map of State-Listed Rare Wetland Wildlife published by the Natural Heritage and Endangered Species Program (NHESP)? To view habitat maps, see the Massachusetts Natural Heritage Atlas or go to http://maps.massgis.state.ma.us/PRI_EST_HAB/viewer.htm.

a. 🗌 Yes 🛛 No	If yes, include proof of mailing or hand delivery of NOI to:
	Natural Heritage and Endangered Species Program
	Division of Fisheries and Wildlife
August 2017	1 Rabbit Hill Road
b. Date of map	Westbolough, MA 01501

If yes, the project is also subject to Massachusetts Endangered Species Act (MESA) review (321 CMR 10.18). To qualify for a streamlined, 30-day, MESA/Wetlands Protection Act review, please complete Section C.1.c, and include requested materials with this Notice of Intent (NOI); *OR* complete Section C.2.f, if applicable. *If MESA supplemental information is not included with the NOI, by completing Section 1 of this form, the NHESP will require a separate MESA filing which may take up to 90 days to review (unless noted exceptions in Section 2 apply, see below).*

c. Submit Supplemental Information for Endangered Species Review*

1. Dercentage/acreage of property to be altered:

(a) within wetland Resource Area

percentage/acreage

(b) outside Resource Area

percentage/acreage

- 2. Assessor's Map or right-of-way plan of site
- 2. Project plans for entire project site, including wetland resource areas and areas outside of wetlands jurisdiction, showing existing and proposed conditions, existing and proposed tree/vegetation clearing line, and clearly demarcated limits of work **
 - (a) Project description (including description of impacts outside of wetland resource area & buffer zone)
 - (b) Photographs representative of the site

^{*} Some projects **not** in Estimated Habitat may be located in Priority Habitat, and require NHESP review (see <u>https://www.mass.gov/ma-endangered-species-act-mesa-regulatory-review</u>).

Priority Habitat includes habitat for state-listed plants and strictly upland species not protected by the Wetlands Protection Act.

^{**} MESA projects may not be segmented (321 CMR 10.16). The applicant must disclose full development plans even if such plans are not required as part of the Notice of Intent process.



Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40

Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands

WPA Form 3 – Notice of Intent

MassDEP File Number

Document Transaction Number Pelham

City/Town

C. Other Applicable Standards and Requirements (cont'd)

(c) MESA filing fee (fee information available at <u>https://www.mass.gov/how-to/how-to-file-for-a-mesa-project-review</u>).

Make check payable to "Commonwealth of Massachusetts - NHESP" and *mail to NHESP* at above address

Projects altering 10 or more acres of land, also submit:

- (d) Vegetation cover type map of site
- (e) Project plans showing Priority & Estimated Habitat boundaries
- (f) OR Check One of the Following
- 1. Project is exempt from MESA review. Attach applicant letter indicating which MESA exemption applies. (See 321 CMR 10.14, <u>https://www.mass.gov/service-details/exemptions-from-review-for-projectsactivities-in-priority-habitat</u>; the NOI must still be sent to NHESP if the project is within estimated habitat pursuant to 310 CMR 10.37 and 10.59.)

2 П	Soparate MESA review opgoing		
2.	Separate MESA review origoing.	a. NHESP Tracking #	b. Date submitted to NHESP

- 3. Separate MESA review completed. Include copy of NHESP "no Take" determination or valid Conservation & Management Permit with approved plan.
- 3. For coastal projects only, is any portion of the proposed project located below the mean high water line or in a fish run?

а. 🛛	Not applicable	 project is 	in inland resource a	rea only	b. 🗌	Yes	🗌 No
------	----------------	--------------------------------	----------------------	----------	------	-----	------

If yes, include proof of mailing, hand delivery, or electronic delivery of NOI to either:

South Shore - Cohasset to Rhode Island border, and North Shore - Hull to New Hampshire border: the Cape & Islands:

Division of Marine Fisheries -Southeast Marine Fisheries Station Attn: Environmental Reviewer 836 South Rodney French Blvd. New Bedford, MA 02744 Email: <u>dmf.envreview-south@mass.gov</u> Division of Marine Fisheries -North Shore Office Attn: Environmental Reviewer 30 Emerson Avenue Gloucester, MA 01930 Email: dmf.envreview-north@mass.gov

Also if yes, the project may require a Chapter 91 license. For coastal towns in the Northeast Region, please contact MassDEP's Boston Office. For coastal towns in the Southeast Region, please contact MassDEP's Southeast Regional Office.

c. Is this an aquaculture project?

Ч	Ves	\square	No
a.	res		110

If yes, include a copy of the Division of Marine Fisheries Certification Letter (M.G.L. c. 130, § 57).

Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands WPA Form 3 – Notice of Intent Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40			Provided by MassDEP: MassDEP File Number Document Transaction Number Pelham City/Town	
	C.	Other Applicable Standards and Requirements	(cont'd)	
	4.	Is any portion of the proposed project within an Area of Critical Environ	mental Concern (ACEC)?	
Online Users: Include your document		a. Yes No If yes, provide name of ACEC (see instructions Website for ACEC locations). Note: electronic	to WPA Form 3 or MassDEP filers click on Website.	
transaction		b. ACEC		
(provided on your receipt page)	5.	Is any portion of the proposed project within an area designated as an (ORW) as designated in the Massachusetts Surface Water Quality State	Outstanding Resource Water ndards, 314 CMR 4.00?	
supplementary		a. 🗌 Yes 🖾 No		
submit to the Department.	6.	Is any portion of the site subject to a Wetlands Restriction Order under Restriction Act (M.G.L. c. 131, \S 40A) or the Coastal Wetlands Restrict	the Inland Wetlands ion Act (M.G.L. c. 130, § 105)?	
		a. 🗌 Yes 🖾 No		
	7.	Is this project subject to provisions of the MassDEP Stormwater Manac	ement Standards?	
		 a. Yes. Attach a copy of the Stormwater Report as required by the Standards per 310 CMR 10.05(6)(k)-(q) and check if: 1. Applying for Low Impact Development (LID) site design cress Stormwater Management Handbook Vol. 2, Chapter 3) 	e Stormwater Management	
		2. A portion of the site constitutes redevelopment		
		3. Proprietary BMPs are included in the Stormwater Manager	nent System.	
		b. No. Check why the project is exempt:		
		1. Single-family house		
		2. Emergency road repair		
		3. Small Residential Subdivision (less than or equal to 4 singl or equal to 4 units in multi-family housing project) with no c	e-family houses or less than lischarge to Critical Areas.	
	D.	Additional Information		
	This is a proposal for an Ecological Restoration Limited Project. Skip Section D and complete Appendix A: Ecological Restoration Notice of Intent – Minimum Required Documents (310 CMF 10.12).			

Applicants must include the following with this Notice of Intent (NOI). See instructions for details.

Online Users: Attach the document transaction number (provided on your receipt page) for any of the following information you submit to the Department.

- 1. USGS or other map of the area (along with a narrative description, if necessary) containing sufficient information for the Conservation Commission and the Department to locate the site. (Electronic filers may omit this item.)
- 2. Plans identifying the location of proposed activities (including activities proposed to serve as a Bordering Vegetated Wetland [BVW] replication area or other mitigating measure) relative to the boundaries of each affected resource area.



Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection

Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands

WPA Form 3 – Notice of Intent

Provided by MassDEP:

MassDEP File Number

Document Transaction Number Pelham City/Town

Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40

D. Additional Information (cont'd)

- 3. Identify the method for BVW and other resource area boundary delineations (MassDEP BVW Field Data Form(s), Determination of Applicability, Order of Resource Area Delineation, etc.), and attach documentation of the methodology.
- 4. \square List the titles and dates for all plans and other materials submitted with this NOI.

Buffam Road, Pelham, MA; Driveway	Alignment	
a. Plan Title		
Berkshire Design Group		
b. Prepared By	c. Signed and Stamped by	
3/11/2021		
d. Final Revision Date	e. Scale	
USGS Locus Map	3/10/20	021
f. Additional Plan or Document Title	g. Date	
If there is more then one preparty	owner places attach a list of these preparty	ownore no

- 5. If there is more than one property owner, please attach a list of these property owners not listed on this form.
- 6. Attach proof of mailing for Natural Heritage and Endangered Species Program, if needed.
- 7. Attach proof of mailing for Massachusetts Division of Marine Fisheries, if needed.
- 8. Attach NOI Wetland Fee Transmittal Form
- 9. Attach Stormwater Report, if needed.

E. Fees

1. Fee Exempt: No filing fee shall be assessed for projects of any city, town, county, or district of the Commonwealth, federally recognized Indian tribe housing authority, municipal housing authority, or the Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority.

Applicants must submit the following information (in addition to pages 1 and 2 of the NOI Wetland Fee Transmittal Form) to confirm fee payment:

020089	3/10/2021
2. Municipal Check Number	3. Check date
020090	3/10/2021
4. State Check Number	5. Check date
SWCA, INC.	
6. Payor name on check: First Name	7. Payor name on check: Last Name



Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands

WPA Form 3 – Notice of Intent

Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40

MassDEP File Number
Document Transaction Number
Pelham
City/Town

F. Signatures and Submittal Requirements

I hereby certify under the penalties of perjury that the foregoing Notice of Intent and accompanying plans, documents, and supporting data are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the Conservation Commission will place notification of this Notice in a local newspaper at the expense of the applicant in accordance with the wetlands regulations, 310 CMR 10.05(5)(a).

I further certify under penalties of perjury that all abutters were notified of this application, pursuant to the requirements of M.G.L. c. 131, § 40. Notice must be made by Certificate of Mailing or in writing by hand delivery or certified mail (return receipt requested) to all abutters within 100 feet of the property line of the project location.

1. Signature of Applicant	2. Date
3. Signature of Property Owner (if different)	4. Date
	March 11, 2021
5. Signature of Representative (if any)	6. Date

For Conservation Commission:

Two copies of the completed Notice of Intent (Form 3), including supporting plans and documents, two copies of the NOI Wetland Fee Transmittal Form, and the city/town fee payment, to the Conservation Commission by certified mail or hand delivery.

For MassDEP:

One copy of the completed Notice of Intent (Form 3), including supporting plans and documents, one copy of the NOI Wetland Fee Transmittal Form, and a **copy** of the state fee payment to the MassDEP Regional Office (see Instructions) by certified mail or hand delivery.

Other:

If the applicant has checked the "yes" box in any part of Section C, Item 3, above, refer to that section and the Instructions for additional submittal requirements.

The original and copies must be sent simultaneously. Failure by the applicant to send copies in a timely manner may result in dismissal of the Notice of Intent.



Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands

NOI Wetland Fee Transmittal Form

Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40

Important: When filling out forms on the computer, use only the tab key to move your cursor - do not use the return key.



A. Applicant Information

1. Location of Proj	ect:		
Buffam Road		Pelham	
a. Street Address		b. City/Town	
		\$500.00	
c. Check number		d. Fee amount	
2. Applicant Mailin	g Address:		
Hank		Brakeley	
a. First Name		b. Last Name	
c. Organization			
d. Mailing Address			
e. City/Town		f. State	g. Zip Code
781-234-4086		hhbrakeley@gmail.com	
h. Phone Number	i. Fax Number	j. Email Address	
3. Property Owner	(if different):		
Elizabeth and J	udith	Knowles	
a. First Name		b. Last Name	
c. Organization			
51 Seashell Lar	1e		
d. Mailing Address			
East Falmouth		MA	02536
e. City/Town		f. State	g. Zip Code
h. Phone Number	i. Fax Number	j. Email Address	

To calculate filing fees, refer to the category fee list and examples in the instructions for filling out WPA Form 3 (Notice of Intent).

B. Fees

Fee should be calculated using the following process & worksheet. *Please see Instructions before filling out worksheet.*

Step 1/Type of Activity: Describe each type of activity that will occur in wetland resource area and buffer zone.

Step 2/Number of Activities: Identify the number of each type of activity.

Step 3/Individual Activity Fee: Identify each activity fee from the six project categories listed in the instructions.

Step 4/Subtotal Activity Fee: Multiply the number of activities (identified in Step 2) times the fee per category (identified in Step 3) to reach a subtotal fee amount. Note: If any of these activities are in a Riverfront Area in addition to another Resource Area or the Buffer Zone, the fee per activity should be multiplied by 1.5 and then added to the subtotal amount.

Step 5/Total Project Fee: Determine the total project fee by adding the subtotal amounts from Step 4.

Step 6/Fee Payments: To calculate the state share of the fee, divide the total fee in half and subtract \$12.50. To calculate the city/town share of the fee, divide the total fee in half and add \$12.50.



Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands NOI Wetland Fee Transmittal Form

Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40

B. Fees (continued)

Step 1/Type of Activity	Step 2/Number of Activities	Step 3/Individual Activity Fee	Step 4/Subtotal Activity Fee
Category 1a	1	\$110.00	\$110.00
	Step 5/Tot	al Project Fee:	
	Step 6/F	ee Payments:	
	Total F	Project Fee:	\$110.00 a. Total Fee from Step 5
	State share of	of filing Fee:	\$42.50 b. 1/2 Total Fee less \$ 12.50
	City/Town share	of filling Fee:	\$67.50 c. 1/2 Total Fee plus \$12.50

C. Submittal Requirements

a.) Complete pages 1 and 2 and send with a check or money order for the state share of the fee, payable to the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.

Department of Environmental Protection Box 4062 Boston, MA 02211

b.) **To the Conservation Commission:** Send the Notice of Intent or Abbreviated Notice of Intent; a **copy** of this form; and the city/town fee payment.

To MassDEP Regional Office (see Instructions): Send a copy of the Notice of Intent or Abbreviated Notice of Intent; a **copy** of this form; and a **copy** of the state fee payment. (E-filers of Notices of Intent may submit these electronically.)

THE RED THERMO SECURED "SP"LOGO IN THE LOWER CORNER OF THIS CHECK MUST FADE TEMPORARILY WHEN WARMI	ED BY TOUCH OR FRICTION. SEE BACK FOR ADDITIONAL FEATURES.
SWCA INC	020088
IMPREST ACCOUNT	DATE Marchio 20124000
15 RESEARCH DRIVE AMHERST, MA 01002	
PAY TOTHE TOTHE TO PIL	e @/ //
ORDER OF LILLIN Graden	9
Filty (DOLLARS
WELLIN Wells Dergo Bank, NA	OULDING
Little harris all C	
MEMOLETTY/02 NOI Bylawtee	
"BB0050"	6 III
THE RED THERMO SECURED "SP" LOGO IN THE LOWER CORNER OF THIS CHECK MUST FADE TEMPORARILY WHEN WARME	D BY TOUCH OR FRICTION. SEE BACK FOR ADDITIONAL FEATURES.
SWCA INC	020089
IMPREST ACCOUNT	DATE DURCH 10, 2021 11-24/1210
15 HESEARCH DRIVE AMHERST, MA 01002	
PAY TO THE JOINT OF PLANT	\$ 17.50
ORDER OF CILLUIN CLUCKLOTT	
Sexty seven a 2100	DOLLARS
WEITAGE Weile Fargo Bank, DA	
WENGLAHATING NUM WRATE E	
MEMOLETTICA NUL WIA JAIN Fee	Edds and
	"
	OF TODOR ON PRICION, SEE EACK FOR ADDITIONAL PEATOMES.
SWCA, INC.	020090
15 RESEARCH DRIVE	11-24/1210
PAY CI AMHERST, MA 01002	
BADER of OMMONWEALTH of Massachusetts	\$ 42.50
Joututing 250 King	
The t	DOLLARS
YANGO	
MEMO69471162	
NOT WHAT DRICE yee	
	· ·

APPENDIX B

Figures

















	Berkshire Besign Des
	This drawing is not intended nor shall it be used for construction purposes unless the signed professional seal of a registered landscape architect, civil engineer or land surveyor employed by The Berkshire Design Group, Inc. is affixed above. Do not scale drawing for quantity take-offs or construction. Use written dimensions only. If dimensions are incomplete, contact The Berkshire Design Group Inc. for clarification. Copyright The Berkshire Design Group, Inc. This drawing and all of its contents are the express property of The Berkshire Design Group, Inc., and shall not be copied or used in any way without the written consent of The Berkshire Design Group, Inc.
BORDERING VEGETATED WETLANDS	Buffam Road Pelham, MA
	Driveway Alignment
EXISTING CONDITIONS NOTES 0 50 100 200 300 EXISTING CONDITIONS DEPICTED HEREON WERE OBTAINED BY A COMBINATION OF A FIELD SURVEY ON JANUARY 14 & 20, 2021 BY THE BERKSHIRE DESIGN GROUP, INC., AND MASS GIS OLIVER WEBSITE (http://maps.massgis.state.ma.us/map_ol/oliver.php) 1 THIS PLAN IS PREPARED AS A SITE DESIGN AND IS NOT INTENDED TO BE USED FOR DETERMINATION OF PROPERTY LINES. 3 BUFFAM BROOK PERENNIAL STREAM LOCATION ESTIMATED FROM MASS GIS OLIVER WEBSITE. 4 PELHAM WETLANDS FLAGS OBTAINED FROM MASS GIS OLIVER WEBSITE.	Overall Layout
5. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL FIELD VERIFY ALL EXISTING CONDITIONS. IF A DISCREPANCY IS FOUND BETWEEN THIS PLAN AND THE ACTUAL FIELD CONDITION, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY THE LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT.	Revisions
6. THIS PLAN DOES NOT SHOW ANY UNRECORDED OR UNWRITTEN EASEMENTS WHICH MAY EXIST. A REASONABLE AND DILIGENT ATTEMPT HAS BEEN MADE TO OBSERVE ANY APPARENT, VISIBLE USES OF THE LAND; HOWEVER, THIS DOES NOT CONSTITUTE A GUARANTEE THAT NO SUCH EASEMENTS EXIST.	
 THIS PLAN AND SURVEY WERE PREPARED USING GNSS AND CONVENTIONAL SURVEY METHODS. A LEICA TS15 TOTAL STATION WAS USED HAVING AN ACCURACY OF 5" AND 5 PPM. A LEICA GS14 NETWORK RTK WAS USED HAVING SUBCENTIMETER ACCURACY. THE BASIS OF PEADINGS: AZIMUTHIS, AND THE NORTH APPONY SUCURING. 	
6. THE BASIS OF BEAKINGS, AZIMUTHS, AND THE NORTH ARROW SHOWN HEREON IS THE MASSACHUSETTS STATE PLANE COORDINATE SYSTEM (NAD83). THE BASIS OF THE ELEVATIONS DEPICTED HEREON IS A GRID SEPARATION CALCULATION BASED ON GEOID12A RESULTING IN NAVD88.	Date: MARCH 11, 2021 Scale: 1" = 100' Drawn By:
	LHM Checked By: JS



AM - BUFFAM ROAD\04 - DESIGN PROCESS\01 - DRAWINGS\BUFFAM ROAD DRIVEWAY ALIGNMENT - TEMP.DWG PLOT DATE: 3/11/2021



4M - BUFFAM ROAD\04 - DESIGN PROCESS\01 - DRAWINGS\BUFFAM ROAD DRIVEWAY ALIGNMENT - TEMP.DWG PLOT DATE: 3/11/202

685 680 675 675 675	A Allen Place, Northampton (413) 582-7000 • FAX Email: bdg@berkshiredesign Web: http://www.berkshired	erkshire esign oup e
VETLANDS	This drawing is not intend construction purposes unless t registered landscape architect, employed by The Berkshire Des Do not scale drawing for quant written dimensions only. If dim The Berkshire Design G © Copyright The Berksh This drawing and all of its cont The Berkshire Design Group, I used in any way without the w Design G	ted nor shall it be used for he signed professional seal o civil engineer or land survey sign Group, Inc. is affixed abc ity take-offs or construction. ensions are incomplete, cont roup Inc. for clarification. hire Design Group, Inc. ents are the express property Inc., and shall not be copied vritten consent of The Berksh Group, Inc.
	Buffan Pelhar	n Road m, MA
ATE SEPTIC LOCATION	Driveway	Alignment
	Section	Layouts
	Revisions	
	Date: MARCH 11, 2021 Scale: 1" = 25'	Sheet Number
0 12.5 25 50 75	Drawn By: LHM Checked By: JS	L-3





Berkshire Design
4 Allen Place, Northampton, Massachusetts 01060 (413) 582-7000 • FAX (413) 582-7005 Email: bdg@berkshiredesign.com Web: http://www.berkshiredesign.com
This drawing is not intended nor shall it be used for construction purposes unless the signed professional seal of a registered landscape architect, civil engineer or land surveyor employed by The Berkshire Design Group, Inc. is affixed above. Do not scale drawing for quantity take-offs or construction. Use written dimensions only. If dimensions are incomplete, contact The Berkshire Design Group Inc. for clarification. © Copyright The Berkshire Design Group, Inc. This drawing and all of its contents are the express property of The Berkshire Design Group, Inc., and shall not be copied or used in any way without the written consent of The Berkshire Design Group, Inc.
Buffam Road Pelham, MA
Driveway Alignment
Section Layout & Profile
Revisions
Date: MARCH 11, 2021 Scale: Drawn By: LHM Checked By: JS

		27%				
		6.2170				
						4
						4
17+00 19+00 20+00						
<u> 17+00 18+00 19+00 20+00</u>						
<u> 17+00 18+00 19+00 20+00</u>						
<u> 17+00 18+00 19+00 20+00</u>						
<u> 17+00 18+00 19+00 20+00</u>						
<u>17+00</u> <u>18+00</u> <u>19+00</u> <u>20+00</u>						
<u>17+00</u> <u>18+00</u> <u>19+00</u> <u>20+00</u>						
<u> 17+00 18+00 19+00 20+00</u>						
<u>17+00</u> <u>18+00</u> <u>19+00</u> <u>20+00</u>						
<u>17+00</u> <u>18+00</u> <u>19+00</u> <u>20+00</u>						
<u>17+00</u> 18+00 19+00 20+00						
17+00 18+00 19+00 20+00						
17+00 18+00 19+00 20+00						
	17-	-00-18-	-00	19-	-00 20-	-00







SIDE VIEW



	Berkshire Base of the state of the st
	This drawing is not intended nor shall it be used for construction purposes unless the signed professional seal of a registered landscape architect, civil engineer or land surveyor employed by The Berkshire Design Group, Inc. is affixed above. Do not scale drawing for quantity take-offs or construction. Use written dimensions only. If dimensions are incomplete, contact The Berkshire Design Group Inc. for clarification. © Copyright The Berkshire Design Group, Inc. This drawing and all of its contents are the express property of The Berkshire Design Group, Inc., and shall not be copied or used in any way without the written consent of The Berkshire Design Group, Inc.
DT MIX ASPHALT TOP COURSE W CUT EDGES STRAIGHT AND CLEAN DT MIX ASPHALT BINDER COURSE MPACTED GRAVEL BASE "- 2" WASHED STONE 	Buffam Road Pelham, MA
COMPACTED SUBGRADE EXTEND BASE COURSE AND SUBBASE COURSE 12" BEYOND EDGE OF PAVING WHERE ROAD IS NOT CURBED	Driveway Alignment
	DETAILS
	Revisions

APPENDIX C

Site Photos and Data Forms



Photo 1. View of existing conditions of Wetland 1.



Photo 2. An additional view of existing conditions of Wetland 1.

1



Photo 3. View of Stream 2. Stream runs north to south of the property.



Photo 4. An additional view of Stream 2.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: Buffham Rd Single Family Home Delineation	City/County: Hampshire	Samp	ling Date: Dec. 10, 2020
Applicant/Owner: Hank Brakeley		State: MA	Sampling Point: W1-Wet
Investigator(s): C. Bernier & F. Hoey (SWCA)	Section, Township, Range: Pelhar	n	
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Depression	Local relief (concave, convex, none):	Concave	Slope (%): 0-5
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR R Lat: 42.391266	Long: <u>-72.4506</u>	38	Datum: WGS84
Soil Map Unit Name: Gloucester gravelley fine sandy loam		NWI classification:	PSS
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of	year? Yes X No (If	no, explain in Rema	arks.)
Are Vegetation Yes , Soil No , or Hydrology Yes signification	ntly disturbed? Are "Normal Circum	stances" present?	Yes No X
Are Vegetation <u>No</u> , Soil <u>No</u> , or Hydrology <u>No</u> naturally	problematic? (If needed, explain a	any answers in Rem	arks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing	g sampling point locations, ti	ansects, impor	rtant features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes Yes Yes	X X X	No No No	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? If yes, optional Wetland Site ID:	Yes	<u>x</u>	No
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedur This data point was taken on Decembe time of the data collection. All three par	es here [•] 10, whi ameters	or in a ch is o have t	separate report. utside of the typi been met.) cal growing season. Also, there we	re 2"-4	l" inch∉	es of snow on the ground at the

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
Surface Water (A1) X Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	Drainage Patterns (B10)
High Water Table (A2) Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)
Saturation (A3) Marl Deposits (B15)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
Water Marks (B1) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Sediment Deposits (B2) Oxidized Rhizospheres on Livin	g Roots (C3) Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Drift Deposits (B3) Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled	Soils (C6) X Geomorphic Position (D2)
Iron Deposits (B5) Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Other (Explain in Remarks)	Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)	FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:	
Surface Water Present? Yes No X Depth (inches):	
Water Table Present? Yes No X Depth (inches):	
Saturation Present? Yes No X Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes X No
(includes capillary fringe)	
(Includes capillary tringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	ections), if available:
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe None	ections), if available:
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe None	ections), if available:
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspendent None Remarks:	ections), if available:
(Includes capillary tringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspense None Remarks: Hydrology parameter has been met.	ections), if available:
(Includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspense None Remarks: Hydrology parameter has been met.	ections), if available:
(Includes capillary tringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspension None Remarks: Hydrology parameter has been met.	ections), if available:
(includes capillary tringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe None Remarks: Hydrology parameter has been met.	ections), if available:
(includes capillary tringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe None Remarks: Hydrology parameter has been met.	ections), if available:
(includes capillary tringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspension None Remarks: Hydrology parameter has been met.	ections), if available:
(includes capillary tringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspension None Remarks: Hydrology parameter has been met.	ections), if available:
(Includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspense None Remarks: Hydrology parameter has been met.	ections), if available:

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W1-Wet

Tree Stratum (Plot size: r=30-ft)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:
1. Absent 2				Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:6 (A)
3 4				Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>6</u> (B)
5 6				Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>100.0%</u> (A/B)
7				Prevalence Index worksheet:
		=Total Cover		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: r=15-ft)				OBL species 40 x 1 = 40
1. Cornus amomum	20	Yes	FACW	FACW species 45 x 2 = 90
2. Betula populifolia	15	Yes	FAC	FAC species 15 x 3 = 45
3. Ilex verticillata	15	Yes	FACW	FACU species 0 x 4 = 0
4				UPL species 0 x 5 = 0
5				Column Totals: 100 (A) 175 (B)
6				Prevalence Index = B/A =1.75
7.				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
	50	=Total Cover		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
Herb Stratum (Plot size: r=5-ft)				X 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
1. Carex stricta	25	Yes	OBL	X 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
2 Typha latifolia	15	Yes	OBI	4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
3 Scirpus cyperinus	10	Yes	FACW	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
4				Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
5 6				¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
7.				Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
8.				Tree Woody plants 2 in (7.6 cm) or more in diameter
9.				at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
10 11				Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
12				Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants regardless
	50	=Total Cover		of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: r=30-ft)				Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3 28 ft in
1. Absent				height.
2.				
3.				Hydrophytic
4.				Vegetation Present? Yes X No
· · ·		-Total Cover		
Demorto: (Include photo numbero horo er en e cono	roto oboot)			1
- Vegetation parameter is met.	rate sneet.)			

Depth Matrix Redox Features	
$(inches)$ $Color(moist)$ $0/$ $Color(moist)$ $0/$ $Time1$ Lee^2 $Texture Description$	
(incries) Color (moist) % Color (moist) % Type Loc Texture Remarks	
0-5 10YR 2/1 95 7.5YR 4/6 5 C M Sandy Oxidized Rhizospheres	
5-20 10YR 4/1 100 Sandy Fine Sandy Loam	
¹ Turney C. Concentration D. Depletion DM. Deduced Matrix, CC. Covered or Costed Sand Craine 2 excetions, DL. Deve Lining, M. Matrix,	
Hydric Soil Indicators:	
Histosol (A1) Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (I RR R 2 cm Muck (A10) (I RR K I, MI RA 149B)	
Histic Epipedon (A2) MLRA 149B) Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (LRR K. L. R)	
Black Histic (A3) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R. MLRA 149B) 5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K. L. R	3)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) High Chroma Sands (S11) (LRR K, L) Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR K, L)	,
Stratified Layers (A5) Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (LRR K, L) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L)	
X Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, F	R)
Thick Dark Surface (A12) Depleted Matrix (F3) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149	9 B)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Redox Dark Surface (F6) Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149	B)
Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4) Depleted Dark Surface (F7) Red Parent Material (F21)	
X Sandy Redox (S5) Redox Depressions (F8) Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)	
Stripped Matrix (S6) Marl (F10) (LRR K, L) Other (Explain in Remarks)	
Dark Surface (S7)	
³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present junless disturbed or problematic	
Restrictive Laver (if observed):	
Type: None	
Depth (inches): N/A Hydric Soil Present? Yes X	
Remarks:	
- Soils parameter is met.	
- This data form is revised from Northcentral and Northeast Regional Supplement Version 2.0 to reflect the NRCS Field Indicators of Hydric Soils version 7.0 March 2013 Errata. (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/ESE_DOCUMENTS/pres12n2_051293.docx)	5

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: Buffham Rd Single Family Home Delineation	C	ity/County: Hampshire	Samp	ling Date: Dec. 10, 2020		
Applicant/Owner: Hank Brakeley		State:	MA	Sampling Point: W1-UP		
Investigator(s): C. Bernier & F. Hoey (SWCA)	S	ection, Township, Range: Pelham				
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Terrace	Loc	al relief (concave, convex, none): Convex		Slope (%): <u>5-8%</u>		
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR R Lat: 42.3912	266	Long: -72.450638		Datum: WGS84		
Soil Map Unit Name: Gloucester gravelley fine sandy loam		NWI classifi	ication:	None		
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)						
Are Vegetation <u>Yes</u> , Soil <u>No</u> , or Hydrology <u>Yes</u> sign	nificantly o	disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" pre	esent?	Yes No _X		
Are Vegetation <u>No</u> , Soil <u>No</u> , or Hydrology <u>No</u> nate	urally prol	blematic? (If needed, explain any answers	in Rem	arks.)		
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.						
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No	х	Is the Sampled Area				
Hydric Soil Present? Yes No	Х	within a Wetland? Yes X	No			
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No	Х	If yes, optional Wetland Site ID:				

Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.)

This data point was taken on December 10, which is outside of the typical growing season. Also, there were 2"-4" inches of snow on the ground at the time of the data collection. None of the parameters have been met.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:		Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required;	check all that apply)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
Surface Water (A1)	Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	Drainage Patterns (B10)
High Water Table (A2)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)	
Saturation (A3)	Marl Deposits (B15)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
Water Marks (B1)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Livin	ring Roots (C3) Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Drift Deposits (B3)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	4) Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled	d Soils (C6) Geomorphic Position (D2)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)	Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)		FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:		
Surface Water Present? Yes No	X Depth (inches):	
Water Table Present? Yes No	X Depth (inches):	
Saturation Present? Yes No	X Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No
(includes capillary fringe)		
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monito	ring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	pections), if available:
None		
Remarks:		
Hydrology parameter has not been met.		

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W1-UP

Tree Stratum (Plot size: r-30-ft)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet
1 Pinus strobus	15	Yes	FACU	bommanee rest worksheet.
2. Quercus rubra	10	Yes	FACU	Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL_EACW, or EAC: 1 (A)
3				
4				Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 7 (B)
5.				
6.				Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 14.3% (A/B)
7.		·		Prevalence Index worksheet:
	25	=Total Cover		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: r=15-ft)				OBL species 0 $x 1 = 0$
1. Cornus amomum	25	Yes	FACW	FACW species 35 x 2 = 70
2. Kalmia latifolia	15	Yes	FACU	FAC species $0 x 3 = 0$
3. Pinus strobus	10	Yes	FACU	FACU species 115 x 4 = 460
4.				UPL species $0 x 5 = 0$
5.				Column Totals: 150 (A) 530 (B)
6.				Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.53
7.				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
	50	=Total Cover		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
Herb Stratum (Plot size: r=5-ft)				2 - Dominance Test is >50%
1. Grass spp	50	Yes	FACU	3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
2. Lycopodium spp	15	Yes	FACU	4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
3. Gaultheria procumbens	10	No	FACW	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
4.				Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
5.				¹ Indiastars of hydric coil and watland hydrology must
6.				be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
7.				Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
8.				Tree – Woody plants 3 in (7.6 cm) or more in diameter
9				at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
10				Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in DBH
11				and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
12				Herb – All berbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless
	75	=Total Cover		of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: r=30-ft)				Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3 28 ft in
1. Absent				height.
2				
3				Hydrophytic Vegetation
4.				Present? Yes No X
		=Total Cover		
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separ	rate sheet.)			
- Vegetation parameter is not met.	oodiume it i	is our profossio	nal oninion th	at these speicies are most likely have the indicator status
of FACU.	Journs, It	a our protessio		מו חופשי שאייניוש מיד חוטשו ווגצוין חמיצי נחצ וחטונאנטו Status

SOI	
-----	--

Profile Des	scription: (Describ	e to the de	pth needed to docu	ment the	indicato	r or conf	irm the absence of indica	ators.)	
Depth	Matrix		Redo	x Features	5	1 2	Tartan	D	1
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type.	Loc	lexture	Remar	KS
0-2	10YR 3/2	100					Sandy	Fine Sandy	Loam
2-8	10YR 4/3	100					Sandy	Fine Sandy	Loam
8-18	10YR 5/6	100					Sandy	Fine Sandy	Loam
· ·						·			
·		·				<u> </u>			
	Concentration D-De		A-Reduced Matrix	S-Covere	d or Coa	ed Sand	Grains ² Location: F	PL-Pore Lining	M-Matrix
Hvdric Soi	I Indicators:			0=000010		cu danu	Indicators for Proble	matic Hvdric	Soils ³ :
Histos	ol (A1)		Polyvalue Below	/ Surface ((S8) (LRI	RR,	2 cm Muck (A10)	(LRR K, L, M	LRA 149B)
Histic I	Epipedon (A2)		MLRA 149B)				Coast Prairie Rec	lox (A16) (LRF	R K, L, R)
Black I	Histic (A3)		Thin Dark Surfa	ce (S9) (L	RR R, M	_RA 149E	3) 5 cm Mucky Peat	or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R)
Hydrog	gen Sulfide (A4)		High Chroma Sa	ands (S11)	(LRR K	, L)	Polyvalue Below	Surface (S8) (LRR K, L)
Stratifi	ed Layers (A5)		Loamy Mucky N	lineral (F1) (LRR K	, L)	Thin Dark Surface	e (S9) (LRR K	, L)
Deplet	ed Below Dark Surfa	ace (A11)	Loamy Gleyed N	/latrix (F2)			Iron-Manganese	Masses (F12)	(LRR K, L, R)
Thick [Dark Surface (A12)		Depleted Matrix	(F3)			Piedmont Floodp	ain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B)
Sandy	Mucky Mineral (S1)		Redox Dark Sur	face (F6)			Mesic Spodic (TA	6) (MLRA 14 4	A, 145, 149B)
Sandy	Gleyed Matrix (S4)		Depleted Dark S	Surface (F7	7)		Red Parent Mate	rial (F21)	
Sandy	Redox (S5)		Redox Depressi	ons (F8)			Very Shallow Dar	k Surface (TF	12)
Strippe	ed Matrix (S6)		Marl (F10) (LRR	K, L)			Other (Explain in	Remarks)	
Dark S	Surface (S7)								
³ Indicators	of hydrophytic veget	ation and v	vetland hydrology mu	st be pres	ent, unle	ss disturb	ed or problematic.		
Restrictive	Layer (if observed	l):		·					
Type: No	one								
Depth (in	iches):	N/A					Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No
Remarks: - Soils para	meter is not met.								

- This data form is revised from Northcentral and Northeast Regional Supplement Version 2.0 to reflect the NRCS Field Indicators of Hydric Soils version 7.0 March 2013 Errata. (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/nrcs12p2_051293.docx)

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: Buffham Rd Single Family Home Delineation	City/County: Hampshire Sampling Date: Dec. 10, 2020					
Applicant/Owner: Hank Brakeley	State: MA Sampling Point: W2-Wet					
Investigator(s): C. Bernier & F. Hoey (SWCA)	Section, Township, Range: Pelham					
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Depression Lo	cal relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 0-2					
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR R Lat: 42.391674	Long: -72.449023 Datum: WGS84					
Soil Map Unit Name: Ridgebury Fine Sandy Loam	NWI classification: PEM					
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)						
Are Vegetation _YES_, Soil _YES_, or Hydrology _YES_significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No _X						
Are Vegetation YES, Soil YES, or Hydrology YES naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)						
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.						
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes X No	Is the Sampled Area					
Hydric Soil Present? Yes No X	within a Wetland? Yes X No					
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes X No	If yes, optional Wetland Site ID:					
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report This data point was taken on December 10, which is outside of the typ been removed in the last 5 years and parts of the wetland had been fil the ground at the time of the data collection. Two of the three parameter) bical growing season. Also, this point was taken in an area where the trees had led with brush, mulch and sediment. Lastly, there were 2"-4" inches of snow on ters have been met.					

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
Surface Water (A1) X Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	Drainage Patterns (B10)
High Water Table (A2) Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)
Saturation (A3) Marl Deposits (B15)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
Water Marks (B1) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Sediment Deposits (B2) Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living	Roots (C3) X Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Drift Deposits (B3) Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled S	Soils (C6) Geomorphic Position (D2)
Iron Deposits (B5) Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Other (Explain in Remarks)	X Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)	FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:	
Surface Water Present? Yes No X Depth (inches):	
Water Table Present? Yes No X Depth (inches):	
Saturation Present? Yes No X Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes X No
(includes capillary fringe)	
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspec	ctions), if available:
None	
Remarks:	
Hydrology parameter has been met.	

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W2-Wet

Tree Stratum (Plot size: r-30-ft)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:
1 Taura canadensis	<u>15</u>	Voc		Dominance rest worksheet.
2.		165		Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 5 (A)
3.				Total Number of Dominant
4.				Species Across All Strata: 5 (B)
5.				
6.				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)
7.				Prevalence Index worksheet:
	15	=Total Cover		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: r=15-ft)				OBL species 25 x 1 = 25
1. Cornus amomum	25	Yes	FACW	FACW species 60 x 2 = 120
2. Betula populifolia	10	Yes	FAC	FAC species 30 x 3 = 90
3.				FACU species 10 x 4 = 40
4.				UPL species $0 x 5 = 0$
5.				Column Totals: 125 (A) 275 (B)
6.				Prevalence Index = $B/A = 2.20$
7.				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
	35	=Total Cover		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
Herb Stratum (Plot size: r=5-ft)				X 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
1. Juncus effusus	25	Yes	OBL	X 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
2. Solidago rugosa	15	Yes	FAC	4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
3. Scirpus cyperinus	10	No	FACW	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
4. Cornus amomum	10	No	FACW	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
5. Quercus alba	5	No	FACU	¹ Indiastors of hydric coil and watland hydrology must
6. Betula populifolia	5	No	FAC	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
7. Rubus allegheniensis	5	No	FACU	Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
8				Tree – Woody plants 3 in (7.6 cm) or more in diameter
9				at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
10				Sanling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in DBH
11				and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
12				Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless
	75	=Total Cover		of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: r=30-ft)				Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in
1. Absent				height.
2				Hudronhutio
3				Vegetation
4				Present? Yes X No
		=Total Cover		
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separation	rate sheet.)			

- Vegetation parameter is met.

- While Eastern Hemlock (Tsuga canadensis) is listed as a FACU species under the USACE National Wetland Plant List, The Massachusetts Wetland Protection Act specifically names the Eastern Hemlock as a wetland species. For this reason the Eastern Hemlockh as been given the FACW indicator status.

SOIL	
------	--

Profile De	Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)									
Depth	Matrix		Redo	x Feature	es					
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture		Remarks	
0-2	10YR 2/1	100					Sandy	F	Fine Sandy Loa	am
2-6	10YR 2/2	100					Sandy	F	Fine Sandy Loa	am
6-18	10YR 4/4	85	7.5YR 4/6	15	С	М	Sandy	Disting	ct redox concer	ntrations
							2			
'Type: C=	=Concentration, D=Dep	oletion, RI	M=Reduced Matrix, C	S=Cover	ed or Coa	ited Sand	Grains. ² Lo	cation: PL=F	Pore Lining, M=	=Matrix.
Hydric So	oil Indicators:						Indicators for	or Problema	tic Hydric Soi	ls":
Histo	sol (A1)		Polyvalue Below	/ Surface	e (S8) (LR	R R,	2 cm Mu	ick (A10) (L R	RR K, L, MLRA	(149B)
Histic	: Epipedon (A2)		MLRA 149B)				Coast P	rairie Redox	(A16) (LRR K,	L, R)
Black	K Histic (A3)		Thin Dark Surfa	ce (S9) (LRR R, M	LRA 149	B)5 cm Mu	cky Peat or l	Peat (S3) (LRF	R K, L, R)
Hydro	ogen Sulfide (A4)		High Chroma Sa	ands (S1	1) (LRR 🖌	ί, L)	Polyvalu	e Below Surf	face (S8) (LRR	ι Κ, L)
Strati	fied Layers (A5)		Loamy Mucky N	lineral (F	1) (LRR 🖌	K, L)	Thin Dar	k Surface (S	9) (LRR K, L)	
Deple	eted Below Dark Surface	ce (A11)	Loamy Gleyed N	/latrix (F2	2)		Iron-Mar	nganese Mas	ses (F12) (LR	R K, L, R)
Thick	Dark Surface (A12)		Depleted Matrix	(F3)			Piedmor	nt Floodplain	Soils (F19) (M	LRA 149B)
Sand	y Mucky Mineral (S1)		Redox Dark Sur	face (F6))		Mesic S	odic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 1	45, 149B)
Sand	y Gleyed Matrix (S4)		Depleted Dark S	Surface (I	F7)		Red Par	ent Material	(F21)	
Sand	v Redox (S5)		Redox Depressi	ons (F8)	,		Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)			
Stripr	ped Matrix (S6)		Marl (F10) (LRR	K . L)			Other (Explain in Remarks)			
Dark	Surface (S7)			, _/					nanto)	
Dank										
³ Indicators	s of hydrophytic vegeta	ation and v	vetland hydrology mu	st be pre	esent, unle	ess disturb	ped or problematic			
Restrictiv	ve Layer (if observed)	:								
Type: N	None									
Depth (inches):N	N/A					Hydric Soil Pro	esent?	Yes	No
Remarks:										
- Soils par	rameter is not met. Th	is area ha	s been significantly d	isturbed	within the	last 5 yea	ars, and seems to	be transition	ing from a fore	sted area to
an emerg	ent wetland.					., .				
- This data	a form is revised from	Northcent	ral and Northeast Reg	gional Su	pplement	Version 2	2.0 to reflect the N	RCS Field In	dicators of Hyd	tric Soils
version 7.	u March 2013 Errata. (nttp://www	v.nrcs.usda.gov/Interi	net/FSE_	DOCOME	IN I S/nrcs	s12p2_051293.doc	cx)		

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: Buffham Rd Single Fa	mily Home Delineation	City/County: Ham	oshire	Sampling Date	: Dec. 10, 2020
Applicant/Owner: Hank Brakeley			State:	MA Samplin	g Point: W2-UP
Investigator(s): C. Bernier & F. Hoe	y (SWCA)	Section, Township	, Range: Pelham		
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Hi	llside	Local relief (concave	, convex, none): <u>Convex</u>	S	lope (%): <u>5-8%</u>
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR R	Lat: 42.39126	66	Long: -72.450638	Date	um: WGS84
Soil Map Unit Name: Ridgebury Fine	Sandy Loam		NWI clas	ssification: None	
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions or	n the site typical for this time	e of year? Yes	K No (If no, expla	ain in Remarks.)	
Are Vegetation Yes , Soil No	, or Hydrology Yes signi	ificantly disturbed? Are	e "Normal Circumstances"	present? Yes	No
Are Vegetation No , Soil No	, or Hydrology <u>No</u> natu	Irally problematic? (If	needed, explain any answ	ers in Remarks.)	
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS -	Attach site map shov	ving sampling poin	t locations, transect	ts, important fe	atures, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes No	X Is the Sample	ed Area		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes No	X within a Wetl	and? Yes	X No	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes No	X If yes, optiona	Wetland Site ID:		
Remarks: (Explain alternative proce	dures here or in a separate	e report.)			
This data point was taken on Decem	ber 10, which is outside of	the typical growing seaso	n. Also, there were 2"-4"	inches of snow on the	ne ground at the
time of the data collection. None of t	The parameters have been r	net.			

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicato	ors:					Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)		
Primary Indicators (minimum	of one is rec	<u>quired; (</u>	chec	k all that apply)		Surface Soil Cracks (B6)		
Surface Water (A1) Water-Stained Leaves (B9)						Drainage Patterns (B10)		
High Water Table (A2) Aquatic Fauna (B13)						Moss Trim Lines (B16)		
Saturation (A3) Marl Deposits (B15)					Dry-Season Water Table (C2)			
Water Marks (B1)				Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)		Crayfish Burrows (C8)		
Sediment Deposits (B2)				Oxidized Rhizospheres on Livi	ng Roots (C3)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)		
Drift Deposits (B3)				Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)		
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)				Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled	Soils (C6)	Geomorphic Position (D2)		
Iron Deposits (B5)				Thin Muck Surface (C7)		Shallow Aquitard (D3)		
Inundation Visible on Ae	rial Imagery	(B7)		Other (Explain in Remarks)		Microtopographic Relief (D4)		
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)				FAC-Neutral Test (D5)				
Field Observations:								
Surface Water Present?	Yes	No	Х	Depth (inches):				
Water Table Present?	Yes	No	Х	Depth (inches):				
Saturation Present?	Yes	No	Х	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hy	/drology Present? Yes No		
(includes capillary fringe)				- <u> </u>				
Describe Recorded Data (stre	eam gauge,	monitor	ing v	well, aerial photos, previous insp	ections), if ava	ilable:		
None								
Remarks:								
Hydrology parameter has not	been met.							

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: W2-UP

Trop Stratum (Plot size: $r=20$ ft)	Absolute	Dominant Species?	Indicator	Dominanco Tost workshoot:		
1 Pinus strobus	<u>15</u>	Species:	FACIL	Dominance lest worksneet:		
2 Quercus rubra	10	Yes	FACU	Number of Dominant Species		
3		100	17.00			
4				Total Number of Dominant		
T						
s				Percent of Dominant Species		
7				Prevalence Index worksheet:		
··	25	-Total Cover		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: r=15-ft)	20			$\begin{array}{c} \hline \\ \hline $		
1. Cornus amomum	25	Yes	FACW	FACW species $35 \times 2 = 70$		
2. Kalmia latifolia	15	Yes	FACU	FAC species $0 \times 3 = 0$		
3. Pinus strobus	10	Yes	FACU	FACU species 115 $x 4 = 460$		
4.				UPL species $0 \times 5 = 0$		
5.				Column Totals: 150 (A) 530 (B)		
6				Prevalence Index = $B/A = -3.53$		
7.				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:		
	50	=Total Cover		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation		
Herb Stratum (Plot size: r=5-ft)				2 - Dominance Test is >50%		
1 Grass spp	50	Yes	FACU	$3 - $ Prevalence Index is $< 3.0^{1}$		
2 Lycopodium spp	15	Yes	FACU	4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting		
3 Gaultheria procumbens	10	<u> </u>	FACW	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)		
4.				Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)		
5.				(
6.				Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.		
7.				Definitions of Vegetation Strata:		
8.				Tree Meedurlente 2 in (7.0 cm) en mens in diemeter		
9.				at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.		
10.				Senling/obrub Woody plants loss than 2 in DPH		
11.				and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.		
12.		·				
				Liert All harbosous (non woody) planta regardiase		
	75	=Total Cover		Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: r=30-ft)	75	=Total Cover		Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.		
<u>Woody Vine Stratum</u> (Plot size: r=30-ft) 1. <i>Absent</i>	75	=Total Cover		 Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall. Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height. 		
<u>Woody Vine Stratum</u> (Plot size: r=30-ft) 1. <u>Absent</u> 2.	75	=Total Cover		 Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall. Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height. 		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: r=30-ft) 1. Absent 2.	75	=Total Cover		 Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall. Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height. Hydrophytic 		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: r=30-ft) 1. Absent 2.	75	=Total Cover		Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall. Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height. Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No X		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: r=30-ft) 1. Absent 2.	75	=Total Cover		Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall. Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height. Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes NoX		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: r=30-ft) 1. Absent 2. . 3. . 4. . Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a sepa	75 	=Total Cover		Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall. Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height. Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes NoX		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: r=30-ft) 1. Absent 2.	75 	=Total Cover		Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall. Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height. Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes NoX		

SOI	
-----	--

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth	Matrix		Redox	Feature	S - 1	. 2	- .	
(inches)	Color (moist)		Color (moist)	%	Type	Loc	lexture	Remarks
0-2	10YR 3/2	100					Sandy	Fine Sandy Loam
2-8	10YR 4/3	100					Sandy	Fine Sandy Loam
8-18	10YR 5/6	100					Sandy	Fine Sandy Loam
· <u> </u>						<u> </u>		
·						<u> </u>		
. <u> </u>						·		
·						·		
						·		
·						·		
1 T								Dens Lisien M. Mateix
Type: C=0	Concentration, D=D	epletion, RI	M=Reduced Matrix, CS	s=Covere	ed or Coa	ted Sand	Grains. Location: PL=	=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Histos			Polyvalue Below	Surface	(S8) (I RI	R	2 cm Muck (A10) (I	RRK I MIRA 149R)
Histic	Enipedon (A2)		NI RA 149B)	Cunace	(00) (ER	· · · · ,	Coast Prairie Redox	(A16) (I RR K. I. R)
Black	Histic (A3)		Thin Dark Surfac	a (SQ) (I			5 cm Mucky Peat or	r Peat (S3) (IRR K I R)
	$\operatorname{ron} \operatorname{Sulfido} (\Lambda A)$		High Chroma Sa	ode (S11		1)	Bohwalua Bolow Su	
Ftratifi				nus (STT		, L) (l)	Thin Dark Surface (
	ed Layers (AS) ed Below Dark Surf	face (A11)	Loamy Gleved M	atrix (F2)		, ∟)		$(\mathbf{E} \mathbf{K} \mathbf{K}, \mathbf{L})$
Thick I	Dark Surface (A12)		Depleted Matrix (E3)			Piedmont Floodplai	n Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B)
Sandv	Mucky Mineral (S1)	Redox Dark Surf	ace (F6)			Mesic Spodic (TA6)	(MLRA 144A. 145. 149B)
Sandv	Gleved Matrix (S4)	,	Depleted Dark S	urface (F	7)		Red Parent Materia	l (F21)
Sandv	Redox (S5)		Redox Depressio	ns (F8)	,		Verv Shallow Dark S	Surface (TF12)
Strippe	ed Matrix (S6)		Marl (F10) (LRR	K . L)			Other (Explain in Re	emarks)
Dark S	Surface (S7)			, ,				,
_	(-)							
³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.								
Restrictive	e Layer (if observe	d):						
Type: N	one							
Depth (ir	nches):	N/A					Hydric Soil Present?	Yes No
Remarks:	motor in set set							

- Soils parameter is not met.

- This data form is revised from Northcentral and Northeast Regional Supplement Version 2.0 to reflect the NRCS Field Indicators of Hydric Soils version 7.0 March 2013 Errata. (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/nrcs12p2_051293.docx)

APPENDIX D

Abutter Information